KEYNES THE PHILOSOPHER

The great and continuing legacy of Keynesian methodology is its focus on the central importance of uncertainty, expectations, and method. There are several key insights for economists and policymakers who are concerned with economic policy and the management of economies.

1. Uncertainty: Keynes emphasized the role of uncertainty in economic decision-making. He argued that prices and wages aresticky and are not always in equilibrium with the fundamentals of supply and demand. This uncertainty makes it difficult for policymakers to predict the effects of their actions. Keynes advocated for a more flexible approach to economic policy, recognizing the importance of experimentation and adaptation to changing conditions.

2. Expectations: Keynes understood the importance of expectations in influencing economic behavior. He believed that people's expectations about the future shape their spending and saving decisions, and that these expectations can be influenced by policy actions. Keynes suggested that policymakers should consider the impact of their actions on people's expectations, as well as their direct effects on the economy.

3. Methodology: Keynes's methodology was characterized by a willingness to challenge traditional wisdom and to develop new frameworks for understanding economic phenomena. He rejected the idea of a single equilibrium price level and argued for a dynamic view of the economy. Keynes's emphasis on the role of expectations and uncertainty had a profound impact on economic thought, and his ideas continue to be influential today.
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prominent of the outcomes were under consideration. Such phenomena, however, could also be due to the influence of distributional factors. Therefore, the results of the empirical work are not definitive. On the other hand, the findings of Bronfenbrenner, who emphasized the role of environmental factors, are also relevant. Bronfenbrenner suggested that the environmental context plays a significant role in the development of outcomes. His work provided a framework for understanding how environmental factors influence individual development.

In conclusion, while Bronfenbrenner's work has been influential, it is important to consider the limitations of his approach. His emphasis on the importance of environmental factors highlights the need for further research into how these factors interact with individual characteristics to influence outcomes. Given the complexity of the relationships involved, it is clear that additional studies are needed to better understand these processes. Overall, Bronfenbrenner's work has contributed significantly to the field of developmental psychology and continues to provide valuable insights for future research.
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THE INCLUSUS OF EQUILIBRUM

neoclassical theory

macroeconomic theory

A history of post Keynesian economics since 1936

Uncertainty, expectations and markets

...
... we may begin by contrasting a different kind of empirical model, one

which of equilibrium economics every bit as stringent as Robertson's and
for which of equilibrium theories there is today a wellestablished
historical tradition. It is not the purpose of this chapter to
examine the implications of these theories, but merely to outline
the main arguments for and against them. Robertson's
theoretical framework is that of a rational, self-regulating market,

whereas equilibrium theories are based on a model of
the market as a system of equilibrium prices and quantities.

The Robertson model, however, does not take into account
the dynamic aspects of the market, such as the
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Uncertainty, Expectations and Method
ECONOMICS

After the publication of Professor Hume's book on Economic, in 1752 (pp. 148-9), the doctrine of Post Keynesian Economics was developed further. The book, "The Principles of Political Economy," was published in 1752, and it marked a significant change in economic theory. The book presented a new approach to understanding economic phenomena, focusing on the role of government intervention and the importance of money and credit.

The methodology of Post Keynesian Economics, in contrast to the neoclassical approach, emphasized the role of monetary and fiscal policy in economic stability. The book argued for a more flexible and responsive monetary policy, which could be adjusted to meet the needs of the economy.

Keynesian economics, which emerged in the 1930s, rejected the notion that the economy would always return to full employment and argued that government intervention was necessary to stabilize the economy. The book provided a framework for understanding how government policy could influence the economy and how it could be used to achieve economic growth and full employment.

The book's ideas have had a profound impact on economic policy and have been influential in shaping the economic policies of many countries around the world. The book's ideas have been adopted by policymakers and economists alike, and they continue to be studied and debated to this day.
null
The Real Critique of Critical Realism

The methodological construction of critical realism, as discussed by Tony Lawson (1997), raises questions about the applicability of positivist approaches to economic analysis. This critique highlights the limitations of conventional economic thought, and the need for a more nuanced understanding of economic processes. It challenges the assumption that economic outcomes can be fully understood through positivist methods, and suggests that critical realism provides a richer framework for understanding economic phenomena.

Post Keynesians, for their part, have been critical of the positivist approach to economics. They argue that conventional economic models are insufficient for understanding the complexities of economic reality. Instead, they advocate for an approach that is more reflexive and interpretative, allowing for a deeper exploration of the social and political dimensions of economic phenomena.

In this context, the role of the economist is not simply to predict outcomes but to engage in a reflexive dialogue with the world. This process of engagement is not static but dynamic, requiring continuous reflection and adaptation.

The methodological construction of critical realism is therefore seen as a means of overcoming the limitations of conventional economic thought, and of providing a more nuanced understanding of economic dynamics. It is in this sense that the work of Tony Lawson and others has been influential in the development of a more reflexive and interpretative approach to economic analysis.
two versions of the same paper. The first version focuses on the implications of the concept of "economic irrelevance" for financial decision-making, while the second version presents a more detailed analysis of the implications of the concept for the theory of capital structure.

The first version of the paper argues that the concept of "economic irrelevance" implies that the value of a company is determined by its economic characteristics, such as its earnings and growth prospects, rather than by its capital structure. This is because the concept implies that the cost of capital is constant, regardless of the company's capital structure. The paper also argues that the concept of "economic irrelevance" implies that the capital costs of equity and debt are equal, which is consistent with the efficient market hypothesis.

The second version of the paper focuses on the implications of the concept for the theory of capital structure. The paper argues that the concept of "economic irrelevance" implies that the optimal capital structure is determined by the company's economic characteristics, rather than by the cost of capital. This is because the concept implies that the cost of capital is constant, regardless of the company's capital structure. The paper also argues that the concept of "economic irrelevance" implies that the capital costs of equity and debt are equal, which is consistent with the efficient market hypothesis.
The economic concept of post-Keynesian economics was initially proposed by I. McIntosh (1976) and developed further by C. H. Feinstein (1979). Post-Keynesian economics posits that economic processes are governed by the interplay of supply and demand, which in turn are influenced by social, political, and institutional factors. Unlike classical economics, which assumes that the economy operates under perfectly competitive conditions, post-Keynesian economics recognizes the role of government intervention and the importance of social and political structures in shaping economic outcomes.

Post-Keynesian economics emphasizes the role of government intervention in managing the economy, particularly in times of economic instability. It argues that government policies can play a crucial role in stabilizing the economy and promoting social welfare. This perspective has implications for monetary policy, taxation, and fiscal policy, as well as for the regulation of financial markets.

In contrast to neoclassical economics, which focuses on the rational behavior of economic agents, post-Keynesian economics recognizes the role of uncertainty and the importance of expectations in shaping economic behavior. This perspective has implications for understanding the dynamics of economic fluctuations and the role of government intervention in managing these fluctuations.

Post-Keynesian economics also recognizes the role of institutional factors in shaping economic outcomes. This perspective has implications for understanding the role of institutions in shaping economic policies and the role of institutions in shaping economic outcomes. This perspective has implications for understanding the role of institutions in shaping economic policies and the role of institutions in shaping economic outcomes.

In summary, post-Keynesian economics is a framework for understanding economic processes that emphasizes the role of government intervention, institutional factors, and uncertainty in shaping economic outcomes.
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10. Keynes, Kalecki, Stigler: coherence?